App. F. IX-4

Previous References.

JS. 17-41-192
CRS 15-41-76

194 1.-2 (CRS)

File No. 15-41
Serial Nos. 203

Later References.

p:6E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. One

Part B for Mayor

SUBJECT.

Re the appt of R. Subrahmanyam as a Spl. Mag.
Vanji

No B 167/CRS 14.6.42
Approved

Mayor
27/6

2189 3585 CRB

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. A.7.2477. / JS 17-41-2

Dated, Bangalore, 24th November 1941.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Development Department.

To

The Registrar of Joint Stock Companies in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Mis.C.164/41 dated 13th October 1941 regarding the pay to be given to R.Subramanyam, Clerk of your office, I am directed to state that the Comptroller's objection that the incumbent is entitled to draw only the acting allowance as per Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations is in order and that there is no need to depart from the ordinary rules of Mysore Service Regulations whatever the arrangements may be that are permissible under the Central Recruitment Board rules. When the incumbent is substantively appointed to the post he may draw the minimum pay of the post but the acting allowance rules determined under the rules or the Mysore Service Regulations should not be departed from.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Sd/- V.Vedavyasacharya.

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Memo No. A.7.2478-9 Dated, 24th November 1941.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary, Central Recruitment Board, for information.

By Order,

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

KR/4.

Submitted for permission.
13.12.41

2. This affects CRB candidates adversely. Please get the file for
16.12.41 & note

3. This may be referred to A&7 BV for favour of perusal
16.12.41 & return as it is stated that the file was not received in the Records
16.12.41 A&7 Branch for compliance

Serial No.

A

V-

4 Reference is invited to the Financial Secretary's remarks in para 5 of the collection J.S.17-41-2 which is in contradiction of the C.R.B. opinion in para 5 of the collection C.R.B.15-41-76 in respect of the official's case.

5 The effect of the Financial Secretary's opinion will be-

1) A C.R.B. candidate who has no permanent appointment elsewhere will get the minimum pay of the post to which he is appointed, whereas one who has a permanent lien on an appointment on lower pay gets his substantive pay plus one-fifth of the pay of the post to which he is appointed, which will in several cases (as in the present instance) be much less than the minimum pay of the post. The latter will be at a disadvantage inspite of his being better fitted for the post, in view of his experience gained in the lower post.

2) If the post to which such an official is appointed admits of S.P.T. or P.P. arrangements being made, he can be given the minimum pay of the post and where this is not possible, he will get less pay, as under the C.R.B. Rules a recruit has to be on probation for one year and cannot be confirmed to begin with.

3) As a result of 1 and 2 a junior C.R.B. candidate gets more pay than his senior in the list.

4) The principle enunciated in the Financial Secretary's note referred to that "the ordinary rules of the M.S.R. should not be departed from, whatever the arrangement in the C.R.B. Rules might be" does not seem to be followed uniformly. For instance, a Typist

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 04155—GPB—55,000—23-10-41

D3585

File No. of 194 . General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Typist whose name is included in the G.R.S. unit and who is substantively employed on Rs.40/- or more in some other office, is offered only Rs.30 in grade 30-3-60 in the Secretariat but he is eligible to get under the M.S.R. not less than his substantive pay, as on transfer from one appointment to another.

6 The Financial Secretary may perhaps be consulted as to how the above anamolies can be got over.

Sub for orders
(Genl. dy.)
12-2-42

14/2/42.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 14 FEB. 1942

7 This may be placed before the Public Service Commission after he takes charge.

14/2 16/2/42

8 The Board seem to have erred because he was appointed to act in their line. Why could he not have been appointed substantively to the line for the period for which it was needed, viz a year in his permanent line?

26/3

2

Genl. A draft letter Regr Joint Stock Cos is put up. In this connection it is submitted that recruits from the CRB appointed to the Secretariat cannot be appointed substantively even against permanent vacancies as they will be appointed only on

N 35*5

Serial No.

Acting basis during the period of probation

V.P.
10.4.42

Substantive arrangements cannot always be made. In the first place recruits are required to be on probation for one year, under the Rules. Secondly, several vacancies do not admit of permanent or S.p.T. arrangements being made eg leave & acting vacancies.

Invited with refce to para 8. A dft. letter as also put up suggesting that the official might be appointed S.p.T. if admissible

Jnthr
13.4.

Secy
CE
13/4

To

The Regr of Joint Stock Cos

No 6167/CRB dy 14.4.42

Stating that the official may be appointed SPD in the post, if admissible under the MSR

B
V.P.
12/5
Jnthr

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 04155—GPB—55,000—23-10-41

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C.M.

File No. 15-41

Serial No. 203

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 6167

To The Registrar of Joint Stock Companies in Mysore, Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to Letter No A2 2477/J517/41-2 dt 24.11.41 addressed by the Secy to govt, and request. The pay to be given to R Subramanyam, Clerk of your office, I am directed to state That the trouble in regard to his pay seems to have arisen because he was appointed to act in the new post. I am therefore to

Date of issue 14.4.42

Signed by H.C.E

and to state that the Official may be appointed Sub-pro-tem in the post, if admissible under the I.G.S.R, so as to enable him to draw the pay of the post

Dt etc. C/574 P.S.C.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

request you to kindly state
as to why he could not have
been appointed substantially
S. P. T
to this post from the period for
which it was sanctioned
with a lien on his
permanent post

I have etc

Sd/ CRB
[illegible]